

 $(\bigcirc)$ 

## **FireSpot**

A Database for Smoke Detection in Early-stage Wildfires

28 November

**By Natthaphol Pornpholkullapat** Warit Phankrawee **Peraphat Boondet** Thin Lai Lai Thein **Phoummixay Siharath** Jennifer Dela Cruz Ken T. Marata Kanokvate Tungpimolrut Jessada Karnjana

## 

## Introduction









### Wildfire Effect:

- Caused PM 2.5
- Risk to local living organism
- Challenging to handle and manage
- Using Lookouts are insufficient
- Our purpose is to solve this problem by detecting the wildfire in their early stage

## **Wildfire Detection Technologies**



#### aerial-based





#### terrestrial-based

- Can access any inaccessible site
- limited by workforce budget and
- not practical for realtime monitoring.

- can cover a large area but has less temporal and spatial resolution.
- most efficient in terms of accuracy and response time
- Cover mid to large area



## 

## **Dataset Construction**







## STEP 1 : Choosing Location

#### \*activities were conducted from the 6th to the 16th of June, 202

 local government staff responsible for managing and handling wildfires in the area listed locations where actual wildfires had occurred





### **Acquired Pictures Example**



![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Dataset Example

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

## O3 IMPLEMENTATION

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

## YOL<sup>O</sup>v5

#### Overview of YOLOv5

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

## O4 EVALUATION

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

# The evaluation metrics

 $F_1 = 2 \cdot rac{ ext{precision} \cdot ext{recall}}{ ext{precision} + ext{recall}} = rac{ ext{TP}}{ ext{TP} + rac{1}{2}( ext{FP} + ext{FN})}$ 

TP = number of true positives

FP = number of false positives

FN = number of false negatives

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# metrics of 4-fold cross-validation

Round	Precision	Recall	mAP_0.5	mAP_0.5:0.95	F1-score
1	0.8171	0.7198	0.7809	0.3547	0.9688
2	0.7749	0.7228	0.7693	0.3435	0.9605
3	0.8055	0.7207	0.7772	0.3332	0.9700
4	0.7631	0.6948	0.7364	0.3359	0.9616

## **Custom Evaluation**

- image with smoke is counted as a TP if the model detects at least one smoke area with an IoU value larger than a predefined threshold; otherwise, it is considered a FN.
- image without smoke is counted as a TN if the model detects no smoke objects; otherwise, it is considered a FP.

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Performance evaluation of our YOLOv5based smoke detection.

IoU Threshold	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-score	Balanced Accuracy
0.3	0.9388	0.9740	0.9375	0.9554	0.9396
0.4	0.9375	0.9740	0.9357	0.9545	0.9387
0.5	0.9375	0.9740	0.9357	0.9545	0.9387
0.6	0.9363	0.9739	0.9339	0.9535	0.9378
0.7	0.9338	0.9738	0.9304	0.9516	0.9360

## Conclusion

#### **Our Objective**

- Develop dataset for early stage wildfire
- Showcase model to detect wildfire in their early stage

x 29 Fire spots in Chiang Mai

Dataset

Smoke Non-Smoke

x 4000

#### <u>Results</u> Prediction using YOLOv5 return accuracy of 93.88%

## **Future Enhancement**

• expand the FireSpot database with multispectral images reveal a recognition of current limitations and a commitment to improving performance, especially during nighttime conditions.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Q and A

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Checkout our Dataset here**